

Original Research

Knowledge, attitude and practice of pharmacists and pharmacy assistants toward COVID-19 outbreak in Libya: an online based cross-sectional study

Seham Shaboun^{1*}, Faisa Alzunni²¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, ²Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Benghazi, Benghazi, Libya

**Mediterranean Journal of
Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical
Sciences**

Article information

Received
25-06-2021

Revised
28-06-2021

Accepted
29-06-2021

Published
30-06--2021

***Corresponding Author**
seham.shaboun@uob.edu.ly

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5171263

Keywords: Attitude, COVID-19, knowledge, Libya, pharmacist, pharmacy assistant, practice

HOW TO CITE THIS: Shaboun S., Alzunni F. (2021) Knowledge, attitude and practice of pharmacists and pharmacy assistants toward COVID-19 outbreak in Libya: an online based cross-sectional study. *Mediterr J Pharm Pharm Sci* 1(2): 25-35. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5171263>

Abstract

The COVID-19 was announced as pandemic by WHO on March 11th, 2020. Five days later, on March 16th, 2020 prevention measures to prevent the outbreaks had been taken by authorities in Libya. The health care institutes were working during the quarantine and until now. Pharmacists as health care professionals have a critical role in COVID-19 pandemic. This study aimed to access the levels of knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) of community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy assistants toward COVID-19 in Libya. A cross-sectional online survey was conducted in period from November, 2020 to January, 2021. Data was analyzed using descriptive statistics and Chi-square to measure the differences and association within socio-demographic variables. Pearson correlation was used to measure the relationship between KAP of pharmacists. A total of 205 responses were received. The majority of respondents were from the eastern part of Libya. The percent of good knowledge score was 61.5%, the percent of adequate attitude score was 59% and the percent of sufficient practice score was 56.6%. There was an association between knowledge score and respondent's professional and educational level with $P=0.008$ and $P=0.028$, respectively. There was an association between score of attitudes and working setup, professional level and educational level with $p = 0.003$, 0.011 and 0.002 , respectively. There was also an association between practice score with regard to gender, age educational level and work place with $P=0.004$, $P=0.017$, $P=0.002$ and $P=0.027$, respectively. There was a positive relationship between knowledge and attitude ($P>0.05$). In conclusion, the KAP of community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy assistants were low but acceptable. Therefore, KAP should be improved among pharmacists by implanting an effective health education programs in Libya.

Introduction

A new member of coronavirus family (COVID-19) has been identified to cause severe and acute respiratory syndrome among humans. The first infection with this virus was in Wuhan, China reported early in December, 2019. After that the virus spread very fast within China and many other countries through numerous ways such as travelling [1]. The pandemic of COVID-19 has been reached every single country and nobody in the world is considered safe and until now the COVID-19 still emerging and causing serious health issues as stated by the World Health Organization, WHO [2]. Globally, different characteristics of life including economic, social,

political and even though ethical aspects were affected by the pandemic. The response to the COVID-19 was comprehensive but different according to different countries and health authorities. The different consequences of COVID-19 within or between countries depend on the control strategies accomplished and adopted by these countries. The developed and developing countries were both affected by the virus although the effect in developing countries was worse as the acts that has been taken was inappropriate and mainly affected by local understanding [3]. In Libya, the second largest country in Africa bordering the Mediterranean Sea with the longest coast facing Europe [4], the starting of

COVID-19 disease was late in comparison to other neighborhood countries. This is can be owned to the low levels of traveling and international trading, which in turn due to international instability and armed conflict since 2011. All of this affect the services of health care systems [3]. The first case of COVID-19 was confirmed on March 25, 2020 in Tripoli. Two month later on May 2nd, 2020, the number of COVID-19 confirmed cases was only 69 [5]. Now, there are 128,348 confirmed cases, 2056 deaths and 312 new cases in Libya according to WHO dashed board on Feburary,18th 2020 [6]. While, globally on February 14, 2020, there have been more than a hundred and eight million confirmed cases off which more than two million and thirty-eight thousandth deaths reported to WHO [7].

It is generally noticed that COVID-19 suspected patients are usually request help from the nearest health care institutes including pharmacies, emergency units and other health care providers. So, health care workers and staffs working in these organizations should be at least prepared with the protocols and information to deal with and treat any suspected patients with COVID-19 infection. The pharmacists as part of health care professions can effectively contribute to fight against different types of diseases as they are available at first contact point with patients during previous viral outbreaks and pandemics. The role of pharmacists in these pandemics including COVID-19 are vaccine administration, health education and drug distribution [8]. Though, the role of pharmacists in Libya is still basic, but they are a critical part of health care system to guarantee that safe, correct and effective medicine has been reached by all patients [9].

The most important mental key in public health promotion and prevention is knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP). The different aspects of any diseases include a range of opinions about worsening factors, symptoms identifications, disease causes and consequences and the accessible treatment options. As the available source of information about the COVID-19 are different including official sources, nonofficial sources, social media and internet and personal previous experience, medical sources. Therefore, the correctness of information and beliefs may affect the behaviors of population in different way towards the disease [3]. The aim of this study was to assess and evaluate and the levels of COVID-19 KAP among community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy assistants in Libya during this COVID-19 pandemic.

Materials and methods

Study design and procedure: An anonymous cross-sectional online survey was conducted among community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy

assistants in private and public sectors in Libya. The anonymity was maintained to eliminate the bias responses that might affect the respondents' opinions and ensure the honest answers by respondents. The survey was conducted in the period from November 7th, 2020 to January 9th, 2021, directly after the peak of infections and deaths in Libya was reached [10]. The questionnaire was structured by reviewing other articles and previous works [11 - 15]. The questionnaire semi-structured and designed for Google Docs Forms (Google survey tool), then the questionnaire link was shared to the community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy assistants on social media platforms mainly Facebook. Data was collected through an online link as it is impossible to conduct a paper-based questioner and expose the researchers to the high risk of infections with COVID-19 virus through direct contact with the pharmacists in hospital and community pharmacies.

Sample size: As there is no any data available on the number of community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy assistants working in different institutes in Libya. The samples were selected by suitability sampling as the frame of sampling cannot be produced. All community pharmacists and pharmacy assistants working in private and public pharmacies, hospital and polyclinic accept to participate in the study were included.

Data collection: A survey was started on November 7th, 2020 and the acceptance of responses from the respondents was ended on January 9th, 2021 when the appropriate size of sample was achieved. The link was posted and/or reposted either individually or in specific groups of pharmacists (pharmacists syndicate group), the members of these groups have only been the registered pharmacists. The questionnaire started with a brief description of the purpose and context statements; the respondents approved participating in the study by answering the questionnaire automatically.

Study questionnaire: The study questionnaire was pretested on 10 pharmacists for illegibility. The questionnaire consisted mainly of five parts. The first part is the demographic data of respondents includes the following variables; gender, age, working setup, professional level and educational level. The second part is the job description of the respondents includes job position, years of working and work place of respondents (all regions of Libya). The third part is the knowledge of respondents including the source of information about COVID-19 and three knowledge-based questions had 20 points. One point was assigned for each correct answer, zero point for incorrect and "I don't know" answers. The simple repetitive knowledge questions were excluded as the first infections with COVID-19 in Libya was reported on March 25th, 2020 in Tripoli, Libya [16]. Four months

after the announcement of first infection with COVID-19 virus in China [17]. The first question in knowledge part was regarding the COVID-19 risk groups, the second question was about the COVID-19 treatment options and the third question was regard the transmission and infectious facts of COVID-19. The total score is 20 points and respondents with more than 50% of total score (≥ 10) indicated good knowledge, while scores of less than 50% of total score (≤ 9) indicted poor knowledge about COVID-19. The fourth part is the attitude consisted of eight questions. The respondent's response to the eight questions was recorded on a five-point Likert scale as strongly agree = 5, agree = 4, neutral = 3, disagree = 2 and strongly disagree = 1. The total score of attitudes ranges from 1 - 40. In which, a score of more than 60% of total score (≥ 24) demonstrated an adequate attitude score. While, a score of less than 60% of total score (≤ 24) demonstrated as inadequate attitude score. The last part of the questionnaire is the practice consisted of six questions related to the practice of respondents in the work place during the pandemic of COVID-19 virus. Each question scored as never = 0, rarely = 1, often = 2 and always = 3. The total score ranges from 1 - 18, a score of more than 67% (≥ 12) indicates a sufficient attitude and a score of less than 67% (≤ 11) is set for an insufficient attitude.

Statistical analysis: The categorical variables were measured using descriptive statistics including frequencies, percentage and median score. The statistical analysis was accomplished using statistical package for social science (SPSS. version 21). The difference in knowledge, attitude and practice of the respondents was examined using Chi-square tests. The correlation between knowledge, attitude and practice parts were tested using Pearson correlation test. The level of significant with a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

The demographics and job description of respondents: A total of 215 responses have been received from the pharmacists and pharmacy assistants. The respondents were Libyan inhabitants from different cities. 205 responses have been included in the final analysis of the survey as 10 responses were excluded for incomplete and/or missing data, more than half of the respondents were female (n = 119, 58%) and the rest were male (n = 86, 42%). About half of the respondents (n = 101, 49.3%) were in the age group (26 - 35 years) and the smallest number of the respondents (n = 27, 13.17%) were in age group (> 36 years). Few above three quarters of the respondents were community pharmacists (n = 156, 76.1%) and nearly three quarters of the respondents had a

pharmacist's professional level (n = 151, 73.7%) and two thirds of the respondents had Bachelor of pharmaceutical science (BSc) (n = 136, 66.3%). While, 15 respondents representing 7.3% had Master or Doctoral degree. The majority of respondents were working as dispensers (n = 166, 81%) and only 19 (9.3%) were a store owner. The respondents were nearly had comparable experience of less than one year and from one to five years representing (n = 67, 32.7%) and (77, 37.6%), respectively. The highest response and majority of respondents were from Benghazi (n = 89, 43.4%), followed by Tripoli (n = 52, 25.4%) and the rest of respondents were from 20 different Libyan cities. The work place divided into eastern and western parts of Libya as shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1: The demographics and job description of the respondents

Variables	Parameters	n (%)
Gender	Male	86 (42.0)
	Female	119 (58.0)
Age (years)	(18 - 25)	77 (37.6)
	(26 - 35)	101 (49.3)
	(> 36)	27 (13.2)
Working setup	Community pharm.	156 (76.1)
	Private hospital pharm.	15 (07.3)
	General hospital pharm.	23 (11.2)
	Polyclinic pharm.	11 (05.4)
Professional level	Pharmacist	151 (73.7)
	Pharmacist assistance (Pharmacy technician)	54 (26.3)
Educational level	Diploma	54 (26.3)
	BSc	136 (66.3)
	Master or PhD	15 (07.3)
Job positions	Dispenser	166 (81.0)
	Store Manager	54 (26.3)
	Store owner	19 (09.3)
Year of working	Less than one year	67 (32.7)
	1 - 5 years	77 (37.6)
	6 - 15 years	51 (24.9)
	> 15 years	10 (04.9)
Work place	East	124 (60.5)
	West	81 (39.5)

The source of information regarding COVID-19: Nearly three quarter of the respondents (n = 149, 73.40%) got the information about the COVID-19 from the social media and internet, followed by taking the advice of physicians (n = 106, 52.22%). The television and official sits were nearly equally used by the respondents as source of information (n = 90, 44.33%) and (n = 100, 49.26%), respectively. While, the least used source of information was the unofficial sites (9, 4.43%), **Table 2**.

The knowledge related COVID-19 of respondents: The mixed responses of the three-knowledge related COVID-19 questions were received. Majority of the respondents (n = 184, 89.8%) aware that people having respiratory diseases including asthma and chronic obstructive

pulmonary disease are at high risk to get infected with COVID-19, followed by elderly patients (n = 147, 64.4%) and people having diabetes (n = 109, 53.2%). Only few respondents (n = 20, 9.8%) stated that being pediatric is at risk to get infected with COVID-19. 71.7%), people having cardiovascular diseases (n = 132,

Table 2: Age-related distribution of the elderly patients according to prescriptions

Question	Answers n (%)	Average Subscale Score (%)
A. COVID-19 risk groups*		
What are the risk factors for acquiring COVID-19? (Maximum score 7)		
1 Being an elderly patient	147 (71.7)	4.58 (65.44)
2 Being a pediatric	20 (9.8)	
3 Having cardiovascular disease (high blood pressure, high cholesterol)	132 (64.4)	
4 Having a respiratory disease (Asthma, COPD...)	184 (89.8)	
5 Having diabetes	109 (53.2)	
6 Having cancer	87 (42.4)	
7 Being a smoker	102 (49.8)	
I don't know	7 (3.4)	
B. COVID-19 treatment options*		
Which of the following options can be used to treat COVID 19 to date? (Maximum score 7)		
1 Acetaminophen	160 (78.0)	2.46 (35.19)
2 None steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)	38 (18.5)	
3 Corticosteroids	59 (28.8)	
4 Symptomatic respiratory relief (inhalers)	143 (96.8)	
5 Lopinavir/ritonavir (initially for HIV)	18 (8.8)	
6 Chloroquine/remdesivir in combination	75 (36.6)	
7 Tocilizumab (initially for rheumatoid arthritis)	12 (5.9)	
C. COVID-19 sterilization, transmission and infection facts		
What is your knowledge about the following statements regarding COVID-19? (Maximum score 6)		
1 Chlorhexidine can be used as disinfectants to sterilize the surfaces from COVID-19 in hospital and pharmacies.		3.22 (53.66)
Correct	20 (9.8)	
Incorrect	148 (72.2)	
I don't know	37 (18)	
2 COVID-19 cannot be transmitted from an infected person that does not show symptoms.		
Correct	17 (8.3)	
Incorrect	171 (83.4)	
I don't know	17 (8.3)	
3 COVID-19 is a vaccine-preventable disease.		
Correct	85 (41.5)	
Incorrect	47 (22.9)	
I don't know	74 (35.6)	
4 The official name that has been announced for the virus responsible for COVID-19 disease is severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus II.		
Correct	92 (44.9)	
Incorrect	42 (20.5)	
I don't know	71 (34.6)	
5 A recovered from infection with the Corona virus does not become infected again.		
Correct	31(15.1)	
Incorrect	147 (71.7)	
I don't know	27 (13.2)	
6 Seasonal flu vaccination protects against infection with the Coronavirus.		
Correct	19 (9.3)	
Incorrect	145 (70.7)	
I don't know	71(20)	

* More than one answer can be correct, correct answers in bold (% correctly answered). COVID=Coronavirus Disease, SARS-COV-2=Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus-2, COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The numbers in italics are the score for each part in the three knowledge-based questions.

Acetaminophen were identified by majority of respondents (n = 160, 78.0%) as part of COVID-19 treatments option, followed by symptomatic respiratory relief (inhalers) (n = 143, 96.85). While, the least recognized medication for COVID-19 by respondents (n = 12, 5.9%) were Tocilizumab. 20 respondents (9.8%) were able to recognize chlorhexidine as disinfectants to sterilize the surfaces from COVID-19 in hospital and pharmacies. The majority of respondents (n = 171, 83.4%) reported that COVID-19 can be transmitted from an infected person that does not show symptoms and less than half of respondents (n = 92, 44.9%) knew the official announced name for COVID-19 is SARS-CoV-II. Almost equal proportion of respondents that are nearly quarter of respondents knew that a recovered patient from infection with COVID-19 become infected again and seasonal flu vaccination dose not protects against infection with COVID-19 representing 147 respondents (71.7%) and 145 respondents (70.7%), respectively, **Table 2**.

The attitude of respondents towards COVID-19: About all of the respondents agreed that they and their family members are at risk to get infected with COVID-19 virus due to the direct contact with patients at the work place during the pandemics. Most of respondents (n = 84, 41%) accept isolation at home rather than in health facilities (n = 54, 26.3%). About one third of the respondents (34.6%) have neural attitude toward having COVID-19 vaccine. While, nearly half of the respondents (n = 95, 46.4%) agreed and strongly agreed to have the vaccine. Approximately 60 of respondents (60%) agreed and strongly agreed that following WHO recommendations helps to reduce the transmission of COVID-19 and the

few above the half of the respondents (56.6%) also agreed and strongly agreed that the impact of COVID-19 outbreak can be minimized by the proactive measures taken by healthcare authorities. Also, two third of the respondents (64.9%) disagreed and strongly disagreed that there is adequate preparedness at national level to deal with COVID-19 outbreak. Whereas, about majority of the respondents (76.6%) disagreed and strongly disagreed that the healthcare facilities in the Libya have enough resources to provide care to coronavirus patients, **Table 3**.

The practice with regard to personal behavior of respondents: About half of the respondents (n = 93, 45.4%) were able to wash their hand during the work shift. About forty of the respondents often able to maintain social distancing of at least 1.5 m from work colleagues and about half of the respondents often able to avoid touching eyes, nose, and mouth. Only 21.5% of the respondents were put gloves during the work shift. Whereas, half of them (n = 106, 51.7%) were always able to wear mask during your work shift, **Table 4**.

The responses of participants regarding the inadequacy of precautionary measures and pharmacies services during the COVID-19 pandemic: The reasons for inadequate precautionary measure as stated by respondents were equally distributed between the lack of attention - motivation by decision makers and lack of attention - motivation by workers 129 (29.5%) and 131 (29.9%), respectively. Also, the shortage of resources was mentioned by about comparable number of respondents 105 (24.0%).

Table 3: The attitude of respondents toward COVID-19

Statement	Response, n (%)					
	SA	A	N	D	SD	PPR
There is likelihood that you or members of your family acquire COVID-19	24 (11.7)	82 (40.0)	62 (30.2)	21 (10.2)	16 (7.8)	51.7
If getting COVID-19, you will accept isolation at home	43 (21.0)	84 (41.0)	60 (29.3)	12(5.9)	6 (2.9)	62
If getting COVID-19, you will accept isolation in health facilities	12 (5.9)	54 (26.3)	53 (25.9)	58 (28.3)	28 (13.7)	23.2
If a COVID-19 vaccine was available, I would have it	28 (13.7)	67 (32.7)	71 (34.6)	29 (14.1)	10 (4.9)	46.4
Following WHO recommendations helps to reduce the transmission of COVID-19	42 (20.5)	81 (39.5)	63 (30.7)	7 (3.4)	12 (5.9)	60
The impact of COVID-19 outbreak can be minimized by the proactive measures taken by healthcare authorities	34 (16.6)	82 (40.0)	61 (29.8)	18 (8.8)	10 (4.9)	56.6
There is adequate preparedness at national level to deal with COVID-19 outbreak	2 (1.0)	28 (13.7)	42 (20.5)	68 (33.2)	65 (31.7)	14.7
The healthcare facilities in the country (Libya) have enough resources to provide care to coronavirus patients	3 (1.5)	12 (5.9)	33 (16.1)	68 (33.2)	89 (43.4)	7.4

SA = Strongly Agree, A = Agree, N = Neutral, D = Disagree, SD = Strongly Disagree, PPR = percent positive response.

In addition, the reasons behind the inadequacy of services during the COVID-19 pandemic was mainly due to inadequate preparedness as stated by about half of the respondents 166 (48.1%), also, the limited supply of equipment or pharmaceuticals was reported by one third of the respondents 111 (32.2%), **Table 5**.

The association between respondent's characteristics and their knowledge, attitude and practice scores: The scores and association between the knowledge, attitude and practice of respondents and their characteristics were illustrated in **Table 6**. The median of respondent's knowledge score was 10. An about sixty percent of respondents (126, 61.5%) had good knowledge. There was no significant different in the score of knowledge between respondents with regard to gender, age, working setup, job position, year of working and work place. While, there was statistically significant difference in knowledge score of the respondents based on respondent's professional level and educational level and with $p = 0.008$ and 0.028 , respectively. In which, the percent of pharmacists with good knowledge score (66.6%) is two times higher than the percent of poor knowledge score (33.3%). Whereas, the percent of pharmacy assistants with good score is nearly comparable with percent of pharmacy assistants with poor score. On other hand, the percent of knowledge score of pharmacists

with BSc, Master or PhD degree is higher than those with poor knowledge score by nearly two times while those with diploma degree the percent of poor knowledge score is higher than the percent of respondents with good score knowledge.

Almost a sixty percent of respondents exhibit a positive attitude toward COVID-19 ($n = 121$, 59%). There was no statistically significant different in the attitude score between respondents with regard to gender, age, year of working and work place. Whereas, there was statistically significant difference in the score of attitudes between respondents based on working setup, professional level and educational level with $p = 0.003$, 0.011 and 0.002 , respectively. In which, the adequate attitude score for respondents with community pharmacy setup is two times higher than those with inadequate attitude score and the opposite for others working setup. The percent of adequate attitude score of respondents with pharmacists' professional level is higher than the percent of those with inadequate attitude score and the opposite for pharmacy assistant's professional level. The majority of respondents with Master or PhD educational levels had adequate attitude score. Also, the percent of adequate attitude score of respondents with BSc educational level is higher than the percent of those with inadequate attitude score and the opposite for respondents with diploma educational level.

Table 4: The practice of respondents toward COVID-19

Question	Response n (%)			
	Never	Rarely	Often	Always
Are you able to wash your hands during your shift?	6 (2.9)	24 (11.7)	82 (40)	93 (45.4)
Are you able to maintain social distancing of at least 1.5m from work colleagues?	18 (8.8)	54 (26.3)	81 (39.5)	52 (25.4)
Are you able to avoid touching eyes, nose, and mouth?	17 (8.3)	42 (20.5)	95 (46.3)	51 (24.9)
Are you able to stay home if not feeling well?	17 (8.3)	31 (15.1)	83 (40.5)	74 (36.1)
Do you put gloves during your work shift?	72 (35.1)	53 (25.9)	44 (21.5)	36 (7.6)
Do you wear a mask during your work shift?	22 (10.7)	17 (8.3)	60 (29.3)	106 (51.7)

Table 5: The work place practice during the COVID-19 pandemic

Question	Response n (%)
What are the reasons for inadequacy protective measures been taken in your work place to protect the staff from COVID-19?	
Lack of attention/motivation by decision makers	129 (63.2)
Lack of attention/motivation by workers	131 (64.2)
Resource shortage	105 (51.5)
Financial constraints	73 (35.8)
What are the reasons for inadequacy of service related to COVID-19?	
Limited supply of equipment or pharmaceuticals	111 (54.1)
Limited human resource	68 (33.2)
Inadequate preparedness	166 (81)

Table 6: The association between respondent's characteristics and their knowledge, attitude and practice scores

Demographics data of the respondents		Knowledge scores			Attitude scores			Practice scores		
		Total count n (%)		P-Value*	Total count n (%)		P-Value*	Total count n (%)		P-Value*
Variables	Parameters	Good	Poor		Adequate	Inadequate		Sufficient	Insufficient	
		126 (61.5)	79 (38.5)		121 (59)	84 (41)		116 (56.6)	89 (43.4)	
Gender	Male	54 (62.8)	32 (37.2)	0.74	54 (62.8)	32 (37.2)	0.215	39 (45.3)	47 (54.7)	0.004
	Female	72 (60.5)	47 (39.5)		67 (56.3)	52 (43.7)		77 (64.7)	42 (35.3)	
Age	18-25	45 (58.4)	32 (41.6)	0.548	45 (58.4)	32 (41.6)	0.894	51 (66.2)	26 (33.8)	0.017
	26-35	62 (61.4)	39 (38.6)		61 (60.4)	40 (39.6)		47 (46.5)	54 (53.5)	
	>36	19 (70.4)	8 (29.6)		15 (55.6)	12 (44.4)		18 (66.7)	9 (33.3)	
Working setup		90	66	0.132	102	54	0.003	83	73	0.144
Community pharmacy		(57.7)	(42.3)		(65.4)	(34.6)		(53.2)	(46.8)	
Private hospital pharmacy		9 (60)	6 (40)		3 (20)	12 (80)		8 (53.3)	7 (46.7)	
General hospital pharmacy		18 (78.3)	5 (21.7)		11 (47.8)	12 (52.2)		18 (78.3%)	5 (21.7)	
Polyclinic pharmacy		9 (81.8)	2 (18.2)		5 (45.5)	6 (54.5)		7 (63.6)	4 (36.4)	
Professional level										
Pharmacist		101 (66.9)	50 (33.11)	0.008	97 (64.2)	54 (35.8)	0.011	83 (55)	68 (45)	0.434
Pharmacist Assistant		25 (46.3)	29 (53.7)		24 (25.8)	30 (74.2)		33 (61.1)	21 (38.9)	
Educational level	Diploma	25 (46.3)	29 (53.7)	0.028	24 (44.4)	30 (55.6)	0.002	33 (61.1)	21 (38.9)	0.002
	BSc	91 (66.9)	45 (33.1)		84 (61.8)	52 (38.2)		73 (53.7)	63 (46.3)	
	Master or PhD	10 (66.6)	5 (33.3)		13 (86.7)	2 (13.3)		10 (66.7)	5 (33.3)	
Job position		97	69	0.067	101	65	0.337	94	72	0.188
Dispenser		(58.4)	(41.6)		(60.8)	(39.2)		(56.6)	(43.4)	
Store Manager		36 (66.7)	18 (33.3)		27 (50)	27 (50)		29 (53.7)	25 (46.3)	
Store owner		12 (63.2)	7 (36.8)		8 (42.1)	11 (57.9)		12 (63.2)	7(36.8)	
Year of working	<1years	33 (49.3)	34 (50.7)	0.067	39 (58.2)	28 (41.8)	0.337	37 (55.2)	30 (44.8)	0.188
	1-5years	50 (64.9)	27 (35.1)		51 (66.2)	26 (33.8)		47 (61)	30 (39)	
	6-15years	35 (68.6)	16 (31.4)		26 (51)	25 (49)		24 (47.1)	27 (52.9)	
	>15years	8 (80)	2 (20)		5 (50)	5 (50)		8 (80)	2 (20)	
Work place (City)	East	77 (62.1)	47 (37.9)	0.818	74 (59.7)	50 (40.3)	0.463	63 (50.8)	61 (49.2)	0.027
	West	49 (60.5)	32 (39.5)		47 (58.0)	34 (42.0)		53 (65.4)	28 (34.6)	

*Significant level P<0.05

More than half of the respondents (n = 116, 56.6%) have a sufficient practice at work during the pandemics. There was no significant difference in the practice score between respondents with regard to working setup, professional level, year of working, year of services. While, there was statistically significant difference in practice score with

regard to gender, age educational level and work place with P = 0.004, 0.017, 0.002 and 0.027, respectively. Female had higher sufficient practice percent than male. The age groups from 18 - 25 years and more than 36 had twice higher percent of sufficient practice score. Also, respondents with master or PhD educational level had

higher percent of sufficient practice score than other educational level. In addition, respondents from the western part of Libya had higher sufficient attitude score than those from eastern part of Libya.

The correlation between knowledge, attitude, and practice about COVID-19: Testing the correlation between pharmacists' Knowledge, practice and attitude scores using Pearson correlation, showing that the correlation coefficient and p - value as follows: for knowledge - attitude ($r = 0.239$, $p = 0.001$), knowledge - practice ($r = 0.076$, $P = 0.281$), attitude-practice ($r = 0.183$, $P = 0.009$), **Table 7**

Table 7: Correlation between pharmacists' knowledge, attitude and practice score

Variable	Correlation Coefficient	P-Value
Knowledge-Attitude	0.239**	0.001
Knowledge-Practice	0.076**	0.281
Attitude-Practice	0.183**	0.009

** Correlation significant at 0.001 level (2- tailed).

Discussion

The major duties tasks of all pharmacists in public and private sector are providing the necessary information about medications to patients and other health care professionals [18]. The front-line responders for patients with COVID-19 during pandemic are the pharmacists [19]. Pharmacists as members of healthcare workers is considered as an essential part of defense against COVID-19 outbreak [20]. There were numbers of studies in Libya conducted among health care workers (HCWs) about COVID-19 [21 - 23]. In particular, the studies regarding KAP of pharmacists toward COVID-19 pandemic are few. This study targeted the community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy assistants in private and public sector in Libya. The socio-demographic data showed that the respondents were mainly female and high proportion of the respondents were from the eastern part of Libya which are opposite to the published findings [23] in which male respondents were higher than female. This can be explained by the fact that female pharmacists are the most graduates from pharmacy than males in the eastern part of Libya. Similarly, in Turkey among female pharmacists were more dominant than male [24]. The present findings confirmed that social media/internet was the commonly used source of information during the pandemic which in consistent with others [25 - 27], while the main used source of information for the Jordanian pharmacists are the WHO, the International Pharmaceutical Federation (FIP) or Centers for Disease Control (CDC) [13]. Furthermore, the second used source

of information by respondents in this study was the physicians which in agreement with others that show the source of information was the physicians by about 30% [21]. This indicates that the social media and internet has a direct effect on the knowledge of HCWs in Libya in general and pharmacists in particular.

There are many previous studies that had been conducted among HCWs in Libya in order to evaluate their knowledge towards COVID-19 including transmission, symptoms, prognosis, signs and mortality rate in which the knowledge score in these studies was good within more than 80% of the respondents [21 - 23]. The present study targeted pharmacists in particular and excluded the simple repetitive questions. It was found that about half of respondents had good knowledge score. The difference in knowledge percent could be attributed to differences in target populations, research method, type of questions and time of data collection. The time of study is critical as a new information regard COVID-19 transmission, symptoms, prognosis, signs and mortality rate comes out on daily basis [26]. These results showed that in each part of knowledge questions, there were a high percent of correct answers, but overall percent of knowledge is low and indicates nearly more than one third of respondents had wrong information about one or more aspects of COVID-19. It is highly recommended that the pharmacists should have a good knowledge score as they are in the front line against the disease [26]. As the main source of information used by respondents were social media/internet, pharmacists should be careful in rely only on such sources, especially in diseases as COVID-19. The social media contains misleading and overloaded information besides the absence of peer reviewed articles [25]. The fact that pharmacist's knowledge score is ranked lower than other health care workers might be due to their less participations in patients care units in hospitals and other health care centers [8].

COVID-19 risk groups patients were recognized by majority of respondents in this study. This is comparable with other surveys in Vietnam and United Arab Emirates [28, 29]. These studies showed that the majority of HCWs were able to recognize the elderly patients with chronic diseases who are at high risk of severe illness/death from infections with COVID-19. Until this time, there is no specific antiviral medication to treat COVID-19. The available treatment options are to reduce the symptoms and severity of infection [19]. In the present study, acetaminophen, symptomatic respiratory relief (inhalers) and Chloroquine - remdesivir in combination were nearly identified by the similar percentage of Lebanese pharmacists [19]. Only 18 respondents in this study were aware that the antiretroviral drug named Kaletra® containing (Lopinavir / Ritonavir) in combination

approved 20 years ago by FDA to treat HIV can be used in the treatment protocol of COVID-19 infected patient. The retroviral medication is recommended as an option to treat COVID-19 especially in early stage [24, 30]. The present study also show only few respondents were able to identify that non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and corticosteroids can be used as treatments options. These results means that the respondents lack the up-to-date information regarding different aspects of COVID-19. This is compatible with other studies [29, 31, 32].

Pharmacists participated in the present study (10%) were able to identify chlorhexidine as a disinfectant to sterilize the surfaces from COVID-19 in hospitals. This is considered higher than the percent of Jordanian pharmacists [13]. Majority of respondents aware that COVID-19 can be transmitted from an infected person that does not show any symptoms which is similar to the results obtained among Syrian pharmacists [33]. The percent of respondents that recognize COVID-19 as vaccine preventable disease were nearly comparable with the percent of respondent's else ware [24]. In this study, respondents were aware that seasonal flu vaccination does not protect against infection with the COVID-19 which is in consistent with the other findings among HCWs [15]. The attitude's findings in this study showed that nearly sixty percent of respondents held positive adequate attitude toward COVID-19 which are different from the results of a study done in Nigeria among HCWs [25], whereas, adequate attitude percent of the present results is comparable with the percent of good attitude of Bangladesh residents [11]. About above half of the respondents in this study showed positive attitude (strongly agree and agree) that they and their families will get infected by COVID-19 which are consistent with the other findings [19], while this attitude percent is less than the percent of Vietnamese HCWs who's stated that they and their families will get infected [28]. Less than half of respondents expressed positive attitude (strongly agree and agree) to have the COVID-19 vaccine when it is available which are much less than the percent of HCWs who stated to have the vaccine [33]. The negative attitude can be attributed to the available vaccines approved to an emergency use only and their safety is not fully approved [36]. Few less than two third of respondents showed positive attitude (strongly agree and agree) to accept the isolation at home rather than in health facilities which is reported by only almost one third. These results are different in comparable to other findings [28], in which the majority accept the isolation at health facilities in case they got infected with COVID-19. Mainly sixty percent and almost two third of respondents, respectively held positive attitude toward following the recommendations

of WHO and national health authorities regarding the protective measures that can help to minimize the infection with COVID-19. This attitude is much less than the attitude of Ethiopian' hospital and community pharmacists HCWs toward following WHO recommendations and nearly comparable attitude toward following the recommendations of national health authorities [26]. The quite inadequate attitude in this survey can be attributed to highly negative response of respondents toward adequacy and preparedness at national level to deal with COVID-19 pandemic and the ability of healthcare facilities in Libya to provide health care to patients with coronavirus. This is in consistent with other results [26], and differ from other findings [25]. In regard to the pharmacists' practice, about half of our respondents reported a positive sufficient practice toward COVID-19 which are consistent with the other findings of a studies done in Lebanon and Bangladesh [11, 19]. The majority of respondents in the present study have reported the ability to wash hands, keep social distancing of at least 1.5 m from work colleagues and avoid touching face frequently at shift. These findings showed lower practice than the Lebanese pharmacists. The percent of respondents able to stay home when get infected and wearing mask are comparable with the percent of respondents doing the same acts as reported in the Lebanese study. Studies by [26, 37] showed better practice in comparison with this study as the majority of the pharmacists washing hands with soap or sanitizer, adopted a social distance and wearing personal protective equipment. Another cross-sectional study [38], who examined KAP among pharmacy students in Saudi Arabia, high respondents kept a distance from crowded and half of respondents reported wearing a mask when leaving home. Regarding the practice of health institutes, the present study showed that reasons for inadequacy precautionary measures in work place is the lack of motivation by decision makers, lack of attention by workers and shortage of resource. The reasons for inadequacy of service that are provided to public and related to COVID-19 are mainly due to inadequate preparedness that are comparable with the reasons mentioned in other study [26]. A weak positive correlation was observed between knowledge and attitude and it seemed to be very significant. Also, a weak positive correlation was observed between attitudes and practice and it seemed to be very significant. However, no correlation appeared to be exist between knowledge and practice. Cross-sectional survey conducted by others [27, 39] showed a linear statistically significant positive correlation between knowledge, attitude and practice scores. A weak positive correlation was observed between attitude and practice and no correlation seemed to be

found between knowledge and attitudes or knowledge and practice [40].

Conclusion

The levels of KAP of Libyan community pharmacists, hospital pharmacists and pharmacy assistants are low but acceptable in country like Libya in which the health care system is weak. The percent of KAP is comparable with each other and consistent. This study showed that actions should be taken by Libyan health care authorities to provide the pharmacists with up-to-date reliable source of information and should organize educational programs to improve the knowledge, attitude and practice of pharmacists.

Conflict of Interest

There are no competing interests to declare.

Contributions

Shaboun S. and Alzunni F. together designed the questionnaire, collected, uploaded the data and analyzed them by SPSS. Alzunni F. wrote the introduction and methodology, while Shaboun S. wrote the results, discussion and the rest of work in manuscript. Both authors reviewed and edited the final form of manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are gratefully pleased for all the Libyan pharmacists and pharmacy assistants for their cooperation and contribution to this work.

References

- Nemati M, Ebrahimi B, Nemati F (2020) Assessment of Iranian nurses' knowledge and anxiety toward COVID-19 during the current outbreak in Iran. *Archive of Clinical Infectious Disease*. 15(COVID-19):e102848.
- Khan H, Shah F, Neelum S, Zakir M (2020) Knowledge and attitude of healthcare workers to predict the confidence level to combat Covid-19. *Journal of Islamic International Medical College*. 22, 15(4): 212-216.
- Daw MA, El-Bouzedi AH, Ahmed MO (2020) The epidemiological and spatio-temporal characteristics of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in Libya. *Research Square*. 26:1-20. [10.21203/rs.3.rs-67010/v1](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-67010/v1).
- Elhadi M, Msherghi A, Alsoufi A, Buzreg A, Bouhuwaish A, Khaled A, Alhadi A, Alameen H, Biala M, Elgherwi A, Elkhafeeff F (2020) Knowledge, preventive behavior and risk perception regarding COVID-19: a self-reported study on college students. *The Pan African Medical Journal*. 35 (2):75. [doi: 10.11604/pamj.suppl.2020.35.2.23586](https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.suppl.2020.35.2.23586).
- Elmehdawi RR, Jaweesh RS, Elbadri AA, Zue MH, Elsoor FF, Elhaddad AB, Belkasem FS (2020) Clinical, biochemical, and radiological characteristics of the first cluster of Covid-19 cases in Benghazi, Libya: Case series. *Libyan Journal of Medical Sciences*. 4(3): 143-147. [doi: 10.4103/LJMS.LJMS.73.20](https://doi.org/10.4103/LJMS.LJMS.73.20).
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. <https://covid19.who.int/region/emro/country/ly>. [Accessed on 2020 February 18].
- World Health Organization. Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard. https://covid19.who.int/?gclid=Cj0KCQjA1KiBBhCccARIsAPWqoSqIqMEjq0MKUjjiDWjROw_GkdGINPWicZTlvAF3K4CCIJbEwSOylkYaAqZ0EALw_wcB. [Accessed on 2020 February 19].
- Karasneh R, Al-Azzam S, Mu Ih S, Soudah O, Hawamdeh S, Khader Y (2021) Media's effect on shaping knowledge, awareness risk perceptions and communication practices of pandemic COVID-19 among pharmacists. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*. 17(1): 1897-1902.
- Benkorah AY (2020) Provision of pharmaceutical services in time of COVID-19 crisis: A Libyan vision. *Libyan Journal of Medical Sciences*. 4(4):159-163. [doi: 10.4103/LJMS.LJMS.48.20](https://doi.org/10.4103/LJMS.LJMS.48.20).
- World Health Organization. (2020). COVID-19 weekly epidemiological update. <https://reliefweb.int/report/libya/who-libya-health-response-covid-19-libya-update-19-reporting-period-29-october-11>. [Accessed on 2020 November 10].
- Ferdous MZ, Islam MS, Sikder MT, Mosaddek AS, Zegarar-Valdivia JA, Gozal D (2020) Knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding COVID-19 outbreak in Bangladesh: An online-based cross-sectional study. *PLoS one*. 15(10):e0239254.
- Muhammad K, Saqlain M, Hamdard A, Naveed M, Umer MF, Khan S, Kamran M, Rashid H, Kamran S, Khan MI, Khan FU (2020) Knowledge, attitude, and practices of Community pharmacists about COVID-19: A cross-sectional survey in two provinces of Pakistan. *medRxiv*. 1-25. [doi:10.1101/2020.05.22.20108290](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.22.20108290).
- Jalil MA, Alsous MM, Hammour KA, Saleh MM, Mousa R, Hammad EA (2020) Role of pharmacists in COVID-19 disease: a Jordanian perspective. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*. 1-7. [doi: 10.1017/dmp.2020.186](https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2020.186).
- Khaled A, Siddiqua A, Makki S (2020) The knowledge and attitude of the community from the Aseer Region, Saudi Arabia, toward COVID-19 and their precautionary measures against the disease. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*. 13:1825-1834. [doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S271899](https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S271899).
- Bhagavathula AS, Aldhaleei WA, Rahmani J, Mahabadi MA, Bandari DK (2020) Novel coronavirus (COVID-19) knowledge and perceptions: a survey on healthcare workers. *MedRxiv*. 1-15. [doi:10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.09.20033381).
- World Health Organization. (2020). World Health Organization coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) situation report. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports>. [Accessed 20 February 2020]
- Zhong BL, Luo W, Li HM, Zhang QQ, Liu XG, Li WT, Li Y (2020) Knowledge, attitudes, and practices towards COVID-19 among Chinese residents during the rapid rise period of the COVID-19 outbreak: a quick online cross-sectional survey. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*. 16(10):1745-1752. [doi: 10.7150/ijbs.45221](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.45221).
- Ghaibi S, Ipema H, Gabay M (2015) ASHP guidelines on the pharmacist's role in providing drug information. *American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy*. 72(7):573-577.
- Zeenny RM, Ramia E, Akiki Y, Hallit S, Salameh P (2020) Assessing knowledge, attitude, practice, and preparedness of hospital pharmacists in Lebanon towards COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Policy and Practice*. (13):54, 1-2. [doi: 10.1186/s40545-020-00266-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40545-020-00266-8).

20. Liu S, Luo P, Tang M, Hu Q, Polidoro JP, Sun S, Gong Z (2020) Providing pharmacy services during the coronavirus pandemic. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy*. 42(2):299-304.
21. Hweissa NA, Shawesh FA, Krema SO, Mansour AA (2020) Knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) for preventing the coronavirus (COVID19) pandemic among Libyan health care workers. *Libyan Journal of Medical Sciences*. 4(3):109-114. [Doi:10.4103/LJMS.LJMS5420](https://doi.org/10.4103/LJMS.LJMS5420).
22. Elhadi, M, Msherghi A, Alkeelani M, Zorgani A, Zaid A, Alsuyihili A, Buzreg A, Ahmed H, Elhadi A, Khaled A, Boughdidah T, et al (2020) Assessment of healthcare workers' levels of preparedness and awareness regarding COVID-19 infection in low-resource settings. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*. 103(2): 828-833. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0330.
23. Atia A, Abdo M (2020) Knowledge and Attitude towards 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) Among health care Workers in Tripoli, Libya. *Research Square*. 1-8. [doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-112634/v1](https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-112634/v1).
24. Emre KA, Demirkan K, Serhat ÜN (2020) Knowledge and attitudes among hospital pharmacists about COVID-19. *Turkish Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 17(3):242-248. [doi: 10.4274/tjps.galenos.2020.72325](https://doi.org/10.4274/tjps.galenos.2020.72325).
25. Ejeh FE, Saidu AS, Owoicho S, Maurice NA, Jauro S, Madukaji L, Okon KO (2020) Knowledge, attitude, and practice among healthcare workers towards COVID-19 outbreak in Nigeria. *Heliyon*. 6 (11):e05557. 25
26. Tesfaye ZT, Yismaw MB, Negash Z, Ayele AG (2020) COVID-19-related knowledge, attitude and practice among hospital and community pharmacists in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Integrated Pharmacy Research and Practice*. (9): 105-122. [doi: 10.2147/IPRP.S261275](https://doi.org/10.2147/IPRP.S261275).
27. Saqlain M, Munir MM, Rehman SU, Gulzar A, Naz S, Ahmed Z, Tahir AH, Mashhood M (2020) Knowledge, attitude, practice and perceived barriers among healthcare workers regarding COVID-19: a cross-sectional survey from Pakistan. *Journal of Hospital Infection*. 105(3): 419-923.
28. Huynh G, Nguyen TN, Vo KN, Pham LA. Knowledge and attitude toward COVID-19 among healthcare workers at District 2 Hospital, Ho Chi Minh City. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 2020 Jun 1;13(6):260.
29. Al Mazrouei N, Ibrahim RM, Al Meslamani AZ, Abdel-Qader DH, Sadeq AS, Ibrahim OM. (2020) The evolving role of community pharmacists during COVID-19 in the UAE; assessing preparedness and knowledge. *International Journal of Pharmacy Practice*. 20: 1-8. [doi: 10.1093/ijpp/riaa003](https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpp/riaa003).
30. Magro P, Zanella I, Pescarolo M, Castelli F, Quiros-Roldan E (2020) Lopinavir/ritonavir: repurposing an old drug for HIV infection in COVID-19 treatment. *Biomedical Journal*. 2020 Nov 10; in press.
31. Mishra GP, Mulani J. Corticosteroids for COVID-19: the search for an optimum duration of therapy. *The Lancet. Respiratory Medicine*. 2021; 9(1), e8.
32. Capuano A, Scavone C, Racagni G, Scaglione F (2020) NSAIDs in patients with viral infections, including Covid-19: Victims or perpetrators. *Pharmacological Research*.104849.
33. Bahnassi A (2020) Knowledge and attitude among Syrian pharmacists towards COVID-19. *Authorea Preprints*. 1-5. [Doi:10.122541/au.158880320.02767325](https://doi.org/10.122541/au.158880320.02767325).
34. World Health organization. WHO issues its first emergency use validation for a COVID-19 vaccine and emphasizes need for equitable global access?. [https://www.who.int/news/item/31-12-2020-who-issues-its-first-emergency-use-validation-for-a-covid-19-vaccine-and-emphasizes-need-for-equitable-global-access#:~:text=The%20World%20Health%20Organization%20\(WHO,outbreak%20began%20a%20year%20ago](https://www.who.int/news/item/31-12-2020-who-issues-its-first-emergency-use-validation-for-a-covid-19-vaccine-and-emphasizes-need-for-equitable-global-access#:~:text=The%20World%20Health%20Organization%20(WHO,outbreak%20began%20a%20year%20ago.). [Accessed 20 February 2020].
35. World Health organization. WHO lists two additional COVID-19 vaccines for emergency use and COVAX roll-out. <https://www.who.int/news/item/15-02-2021-who-lists-two-additional-covid-19-vaccines-for-emergency-use-and-covax-roll-out>. [Accessed 20 February 2020].
36. World Health organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Vaccines. [https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-\(covid-19\)-vaccines?adgroupsurvey={adgroupsurvey}&gclid=CjwKCAiAg8OBBhA8EiwAikw3kikvcBVS151g97J6zM0VkuOdYn96jxEgt_8AgxTsDo1lUcih9Pr1yRoClvsQAvD_BwE](https://www.who.int/news-room/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-vaccines?adgroupsurvey={adgroupsurvey}&gclid=CjwKCAiAg8OBBhA8EiwAikw3kikvcBVS151g97J6zM0VkuOdYn96jxEgt_8AgxTsDo1lUcih9Pr1yRoClvsQAvD_BwE). [Accessed 20 February 2020].
37. Hussain I, Majeed A, Saeed H, Hashmi FK, Imran I, Akbar M, Chaudhry MO, Rasool MF (2020) A national study to assess pharmacists' preparedness against COVID-19 during its rapid rise period in Pakistan. *PLoS One*. 15(11):e0241467. [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0241467](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241467).
38. Alrasheedy AA, Abdulsalim S, Farooqui M, Alsahali S, Godman B (2021) Knowledge, attitude and practice about coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic and its psychological impact on students and their studies: a cross-sectional study among pharmacy students in Saudi Arabia. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*. 14: 729-741. [doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S292354](https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S292354).
39. Muhammad K, Saqlain M, Muhammad G, Hamdard A, Naveed M, Butt MH, Khan S, Ismael NS, Khan Z, Karatas Y (2021) Knowledge, attitude, and practices (KAPs) of Community pharmacists regarding COVID-19: A cross-sectional survey in two provinces of Pakistan. *Disaster Medicine and Public Health Preparedness*. 1-23. [doi: 10.1017/dmp.2021.54](https://doi.org/10.1017/dmp.2021.54).
40. Hamza MS, Badary OA, Elmazar MM (2021) Cross-sectional study on awareness and knowledge of COVID-19 among senior pharmacy students. *Journal of Community Health*. 46(1):139-146. [doi: 10.1007/s10900-020-00859-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10900-020-00859-z).